

ConCribē



Shear resistance test
Flashjoint
145 mm

ConCribes



Reference	kf20210409
Project name	SHEAR TEST FLASH JOINT 145 MM
Date	2020.03.24
Contact	TOFTEGAARD BYG
Designed by	ABO
Verified by	AFO
Customer name	TOFTEGAARD BYG / FLASH JOINT

Scope

The purpose of the test is to document the shear resistance of flashjoint 145 mm in a concrete joint in a 150 mm thick concrete beam.

The test is designed as beams of 400 mm length and 100 mm width, with thickness 150 mm to be tested according to EN 12504-3 for pull off resistance.


The beams are produced at a precast plant using C20/25 concrete to demonstrate the lowest possible performance at low concrete grade. Concrete is certified to EN 206.

ConCribе




Drawings

Flashjoint profile




The flashjoints to be poured at the center of the test beams per the following drawing:

ConCribes



Design of the test beams and location of the flashjoint 100 and 150.

NOTE: THICKNESS OF THE CONCRETE BEAMS IS 100 MM INSTEAD OF 150 MM



Each beam is placed one at a time on the test bench against the above test sketch. One part of the beam across the flashjoint is fully supported along its length so movement in y direction is restrained entirely. The other part is only supported at end so it's free to move in y direction when pressure on this part close to the joint increases.

ConCribes



Test equipment

20 tons bench with hydraulic indicator



The bench is certified to :

Directive/Regulation	Harmonised standard
2006/42/EC	EN 1494:2000+A1:2008 EN ISO 12100:2010 EN ISO 13857:2008 EN 349:1993+A1:2008

ConCribе



Test set up

Beams

The beams were poured on 17-03-21, 18-03-21 and 19-03-21
They were demolded at 12 hours and stocked into 20 degrees hot water for 23 days.
Test was performed on 09-04-2021



Flashjoint 150 beam

ConCribes



Test report

FJ150 17-3-21



ConCribes



First crack at 2 tons
Collapse at 3.5 tons



ConCribes



FJ150 18-03-21



First crack at 1.5 tons
Collapse at 2.5 tons

ConCribе



ConCribes



FJ15019-3-21



ConCribes



First crack at 2.5 tons
Collapse at 3.5 tons



ConCribе



Test conclusions

Ø	Diameter of the cylinder	45 mm
A	Area cylinder	1590 mm ²
Ac	concrete section area	15000 mm ² (100 x 150 mm)
Po	Pressure at first crack	
P1	Pressure at collapse	
Fo	Force at first crack Mpa	
F1	Force at collapse Mpa	
Ro	Shear resistance Mpa	
R1	Shear resistance Mpa	
Rs	Shear resistance at SLS of FJ 150 per meter	
Ru	Shear resistance at ULS of FJ 150 per meter	
Vc	shear capacity concrete	Vc=0.34 Mpa for 30 Mpa concrete.

FJ 150	Po t	P1 t	Fo Mpa	F1 Mpa	Ro Mpa	R1 Mpa	Rs	Ru
17-mars	0,5	1	0,31	0,62	-0,03	0,28		
18-mars	1	2	0,62	1,23	0,28	0,89	41,5 kN/m	133,9 kN/m
19-mars	1,5	2,5	0,92	1,54	0,58	1,20	87,7 kN/m	180,1 kN/m
Average	1.25						64,6 kN/m	

*operator error at testing: load was applied eccentrically

Test expectations


Flashjoint	LTE
145	100 % up to 64 kN/m

ConCribes



Model without FJ

Thickness	150 mm	
Subgrade	5 N/cm ³ *	*min. value
HGV	44 t – wheel load 21 kN unfactored **	** assumed



Shear force in the joint : 121.94 kN/m

ConCribе




Model with FJ

Thickness 150 mm
Subgrade 5 N/cm³*
HGV 44 t – wheel load 21 kN unfactored **
Elastic support (FJ) 64 kN/m

*min. value

** assumed



Conclusion model

FJ 145	Without FJ kN/m	With FJ kN/m	Value of shear resistance FJ
Shear	121.94 kN/m	60.21	61.73 kN/m

ConCribе



Test conclusion

The tested shear resistance of Flashjoint 150 has shown **64 kN/m at SLS**. It is to be noted that the beam was NOT supported as it was calculated to be in the expected test calculation.

The modelled test resistance has shown 61.73 kN/m for a 44 t HGV on 5 axles at SLS.

Test was also performed on 2 beam only, therefore considering potential variation's coefficient.
The first beam failed due to operator error.

Since CS TR34 does consider the use of dowels to reduce load transfer by 30 %, the use of FlashJoint is indeed a valid alternative to dowels and bars, as it conservatively reduces load transfer with **49%**.

Test was performed with plain concrete, FRC would improve results in any case.